Helical assembly in the death domain (DD) superfamily
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Death domain (DD) superfamily members play a central role in apoptotic and inflammatory signaling through formation of oligomeric molecular scaffolds. These scaffolds promote the activation of proinflammatory and apoptotic initiator caspases, as well as Ser/Thr kinases. Interactions between DDs are facilitated by a conserved set of interaction surfaces, type I, type II, and type III. Recently structural information on a ternary complex containing the DDs of MyD88, IRAK4, and IRAK2 and a binary complex containing Fas and FADD DDs has become available. This review will focus on how the three DD interaction surfaces cooperate to facilitate the assembly of these oligomeric signaling complexes.
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Introduction
Members of the death domain superfamily are critical components of apoptotic and inflammatory signaling [1]. This superfamily is composed of the Death Domain (DD), Caspase Recruitment Domain (CARD), Death Effector Domain (DED) [2], and Pyrin Domain (PYD) [3] subfamilies. Within each subfamily, members form homotypic interactions and facilitate the assembly of oligomeric signaling complexes.

A primary function of these signaling complexes is to promote the activation of pro-apoptotic initiator caspases. Upon release from the mitochondria cytochrome c binds to and triggers the oligomerization of Apaf-1 [4]. Apaf-1 is then able to bind to Caspase-9 through a CARD:CARD interaction and promote its activation via dimerization and subsequent autocatalytic cleavage. Upon ligand binding, the TNF receptor family member Fas forms a complex with FADD through DD:DD interactions. FADD then recruits Caspase-8 or Caspase-10 through their respective DEDs, leading to activation of their caspase activity. In the same manner, oligomerization of PIDD and RAIDD DDs leads to the formation of the PIDDosome and results in Caspase-2 activation [5]. Homotypic interactions between CARDs and PYDs also play an important role in the assembly of inflammasomes and their activation of proinflammatory caspases [6].

Assembly of DD signaling complexes can also promote the activation of Ser/Thr protein kinases. In D. melanogaster, Tube recruits the kinase Pelle through a DD:DD interaction, leading to phosphorylation and degradation of Cactus, an IkB homolog [14]. This results in the cessation of cytosolic sequestration of the transcription factor Dorsal, an NF-κB homolog [7]. In humans, DD containing homologs MyD88, IRAK4, and IRAK1/2 assemble into oligomeric signaling complexes to initiate a phosphorylation cascade that results in the degradation of IkB and translocation of NF-κB to the nucleus.

In this review, we will focus on the structures of several oligomeric death domain signaling complexes that have recently become available. Particular attention will be given to the recently solved structures of the ternary MyD88-IRAK4-IRAK2 DD complex, known as the Mydosome, and the Fas–FADD DD complex.

Death domains form oligomers using three conserved interaction types
Members of the DD superfamily share a common structural fold comprised of six antiparallel alpha helices arranged in a Greek key bundle (Figure 1a) [8,26]. Each subfamily within the DD superfamily has variations in sequence as well as the length and orientation of the helices that result in distinct structural characteristics [1]. In the DD subfamily, low sequence homology produces diverse interaction surfaces, enabling binding specificity within a subfamily. The DED subfamily contains a charged triad formed by the E/D-RxDL motif not conserved in other DD subfamilies [9]. In addition, the DED subfamily contains a conserved hydrophobic patch that facilitates DED:DED interactions [9,10]. H1 of the CARD subfamily is typically bent or broken into helices H1a and H1b [11,30]. In the PYD subfamily, H3 is either shortened and preceded by a long loop [32,33], or replaced by a loop entirely [31].

The first structure of a DD complex was a heterodimer of the Apaf-1 and Caspase-9 CARD domains [11]. The CARD domains of Apaf-1 and Caspase-9 form an asymmetrical dimer through what is now known as a type I interaction (Figure 1b) [12]. Helices H1 and H4 of Caspase-9 form a positively charged type Ia surface that...
interacts with the negatively charged Ib surface formed by helices H2 and H3 of Apaf-1. This type I interaction buries a total surface area of \( \sim 1100 \text{ Å}^2 \). Contributing to the stability of this interaction is a hydrogen bond network between D27/E40 of Apaf-1 and R13/R52/R56 of Caspase-9, a second hydrogen bond network between S41 of Apaf-1 and R11 of Caspase-9 (not shown), and a hydrophobic interface formed by I30/I37 of Apaf-1 and I60 of Caspase-9 [11].

Shortly after the publication of the Apaf-1/Caspase-9 structure, the crystal structure of another heterodimeric complex between the DDs of Tube and Pelle was solved [7]. In *D. melanogaster*, activation of the receptor Toll leads to translocation of Tube to the plasma membrane. Tube then recruits Pelle via homotypic DD interactions. Tube and Pelle interact in a manner distinct from that observed in the Apaf-1/Caspase-9 structure (Figure 1d). The C-terminal end of Pelle H4 and the H4–H5 loop form the type IIa surface. This surface interacts with the Tube type Ib surface consisting of a groove formed by the H1 and H2 corner on one side, with H6 and its preceding loop on the other. The C-terminus of Pelle H4 is aligned with the N-terminus of Tube H6 and forms
a pseudo-helical hydrogen bond between the carbonyl of Pelle Q93 and the main chain amide of Tube S143 [7]. An additional hydrogen bond is formed between the Tube S143 side chain hydroxyl and the Pelle G92 carbonyl oxygen. Finally, there are several charge–charge interactions between the Tube H1/H2 corner and Pelle H1.

The structure of the PIDDosome demonstrated how these binary interactions cooperate to produce an oligomeric death domain assembly [13]. Cleavage of full length PIDD results in the generation of a death domain-containing 37 kDa C-terminal fragment. The PIDD DD interacts with the DD of RAIDD to function as an oligomeric platform for the activation of Caspase-2 through the CARD of RAIDD [5]. In the structure, five PIDD DDs and seven RAIDD DDs assemble into an asymmetric globular core that can be divided into three layers (Figure 2a–c). The lower layer contains five PIDD DD molecules (P1–P5), the middle layer contains five RAIDD DD molecules (R1–R5), followed by a top layer of two RAIDD DDs (R6 and R7). The interface between each PIDD DD and the RAIDD DD directly above (middle layer) is a type II interface. The C-terminus of RAIDD H4 and the following loop (IIa surface) interacts with PIDD H6 (IIb surface) in the same manner as Pelle and Tube (Figure 1e). This interaction is dependent on hydrogen bond networks and salt bridges. Type II interactions also exist between middle and upper layer RAIDD molecules. Five screw rotations are applied to this hypothetical subcomplex to obtain the first two layers of the PIDDosome (Figure 2a). There are two types of rotations applied, one rotating 84° coupled with a downwards translation along the axis, and the other rotated 54° with an upwards translation.

Three types of type I interactions are present, PIDD–PIDD, RAIDD:PIDD, and RAIDD:RAIDD. Each of these is mediated by the type Ia surface formed by H1

**Figure 2**

Structure of the PIDDosome, a signaling complex containing PIDD and RAIDD DDs. (a) Planar schematic of the PIDD/RAIDD DD complex. The lower layer contains five PIDD DDs (P1–P5), the middle layer contains five RAIDD DDs (R1–R5), followed by a top layer of two RAIDD DDs (R6 and R7). Each DD interacts with between three and six adjacent DDs. Each hypothetical subcomplex consisting of a column of PIDD and RAIDD(s) (e.g., P1 and R1 or P2, R2, and R3) is related to neighboring subcomplexes by two types of screw rotations, an 84° rotation coupled with downward translation, and a 54° rotation coupled with an upwards translation. (b) Crystal structure of the PIDDosome colored as in (a). Lower layer PIDD DDs are shown in greens, middle layer RAIDD DDs are in blues, and upper layer RAIDDs are shown in reds. (c) The PIDDosome viewed from above. (d) The PIDDosome is a double-stranded left-handed helix of DDs. Each strand contains six DDs connected via type III interactions (see (a) for the schematic of the strands). Shown are surface representations of strand 1 (left), strand 2 (middle) and both strands (right).
and H4 of one DD and the type IIa surface formed by H2 and H3 of the adjacent DD, similar to the interface between Apaf-1 and Caspase-9 CARD domains (Figure 1c). In addition, a new type III interface was found in the PIDDosome (Figure 1f). This is formed by the interaction of H3 (type IIa surface) of the first DD with a groove formed by the H1–H2 and H3–H4 loops on the second DD. Like the type I interaction, three subtypes of the type III interaction exist between PIDD–PIDD, RAIDD:PIDD, and RAIDD:RAIDD. The type III interface between RAIDD and PIDD contains a hydrophobic interaction between PIDD L801 and RAIDD Y146, a salt bridge between PIDD D829 and RAIDD R147, and a hydrogen bond between PIDD L828 and RAIDD N151. Certain DDs in the PIDDosome use type Ia, Ib, Ia, IIb, IIIa and IIIb interaction surfaces simultaneously, forming interactions with six neighboring DDs.

The PIDDosome can also be thought of as a double stranded left-handed helical oligomer. Strand 1 contains P1–R5, R1–R5, and R6, while strand 2 contains DDs P1–P5, R1–R5, and R7 (Figure 2a). Sequential DDs in a strand are mediated by type III interactions. Surface types I and II are responsible for interstrand interactions. Shown are surface representations of each strand, as well as the complete PIDDosome for comparison (Figure 2d).

**Structure of the MyD88-IRAK4-IRAK2 death domain complex**

Members of the Toll-Like Receptor (TLR) family recognize pathogen-associated molecular patterns and trigger signaling cascades to activate immune responses [14]. They share a common cytoplasmic domain with the IL-1 and IL-18 receptors, known as a Toll/IL-1 Receptor (TIR) domain [15]. These receptor TIR domains bind to the C-terminal TIR of the adapter protein MyD88, which performs a critical role in signal propagation from both...

---

**Figure 3**

Structure of the Myddosome, a complex of MyD88, IRAK4, and IRAK2 DDs. (a) Planar schematic of the MyD88-IRAK4-IRAK2 complex. The Myddosome is a four layered tower formed from a single stranded left-handed helical DD oligomer. The strand begins with six MyD88 DDs (M1–M6), followed by IRAK4 DDs (I41–I44), and finally IRAK2 DDs (I21–I24). Interactions between successive DDs are type III. (b) The nth DD uses its IIb surface to interact with the Illa surface of the (n + 1)th DD. Interactions between strands are mediated by type I and type II interfaces. The nth DD uses its lb and Iib surfaces to associate with the Ia surface of the (n + 3)th DD and the IIa surface of the (n + 4)th DD. (c) The crystal structure of the MyD88-IRAK4-IRAK2 oligomer colored as in (a). (d) Myddosome viewed from below. (e) Surface electrostatic potential map showing poor charge complementarity between MyD88 top and bottom surfaces (top) and good charge complementarity between MyD88 top and IRAK4 bottom surfaces (bottom).
IL-1 and IL-18 receptors, as well as all TLRs excluding TLR3 [16]. The N-terminal death domain of MyD88 then binds IRAK family members, which contain N-terminal DDs and C-terminal kinase domains [17]. Formation of an oligomeric DD signaling complex containing MyD88, IRAK4, and IRAK1/2 results in auto-phosphorylation and cross-phosphorylation followed by downstream activation of transcription factors, including NF-κB and Interferon Regulatory Factors (IRFs) [16,17,18].

The structure of a ternary death domain complex containing DDs from MyD88, IRAK4, and IRAK2 was recently solved [19**]. The DDs in the structure are arranged in a tower of approximately four layers, with six MyD88 forming the bottom two layers, four IRAK4 forming the middle layer, and four IRAK2 in the top layer (Figure 3a). The tower is formed from a single left-handed helix of DDs, beginning with MyD88 (M1–M6), followed by IRAK4 (I41–I48), and finally IRAK2 (I21–I28). In this helix, the type IIIa surface of the nth DD interacts with the type IIIa surface of the adjacent (n + 1)th DD. Each successive DD is approximately rotated 98° and translated 6 Å along the helical axis. Interfaces Ib and IIb of the nth DD interact with surface Ia of the (n + 3)th DD and surface IIa of the (n + 4)th DD. The various interaction subtypes (i.e. type II between MyD88:MyD88, MyD88:IRAK4, and IRAK4:IRAK2) are similar

**Figure 4**

The structure of the DISC, a complex of Fas and FADD DDs, (a) Planar schematic of the Fas–FADD DD complex. The DDs form a two layered oligomeric core. The lower layer is comprised of five FADD DDs (D1–D5), and five Fas DDs (F1–F5) form the upper layer. (b) The crystal structure of the FAS–FADD DD oligomer colored as in (a). (c) DISC viewed from above. (d) Like the PIDDosome, the DISC is a double-stranded left-handed helix. Each strand consists of five DDs joined through type III interactions. Shown are surface representations of strand 1 (top), strand 2 (middle) and both strands (bottom).
and superimpose well. The final dimensions of the tower are 110 Å in height and 70 Å in diameter.

While the three DDs in the Myddosome share the canonical DD superfamily fold, Myd88 has features that differentiate itself including a long H1–H2 loop and an extended H6. The long H1–H2 loop forms interactions with IRAK4 at their shared interface. This interaction is not present between IRAK4 and IRAK2. In addition, the charge and shape complementarity varies between the layers. The top and bottom surfaces of Myd88 are only weakly complimentary, preventing stable interactions between layers (Figure 3e). The top and bottom surfaces of the IRAK2 layer are also poorly complimentary [19**]. In contrast, the bottom surface of IRAK4 is complimentary with the top surface of Myd88 (Figure 3e). Additionally, the top surface of IRAK4 is complimentary with the bottom surface of IRAK2 [19**]. These features explain the assembly specificity and order of assembly of the various DDs present in the Myddosome.

**Structure of the Fas–FADD death domain complex**

The expression of FasL is responsible for much of the cytotoxic activity of CD8+ T lymphocytes and natural killer cells [20,23]. Ligation of FasL to the receptor Fas results in the formation of the death-inducing signaling complex (DISC) [21] containing Fas, FADD, and Caspase-8/10. Fas contains a cytoplasmic DD, while FADD is an adapter that containing an N-terminal DED and a C-terminal DD. The interactions between Fas and FADD are mediated by DDs [22], while the FADD DED associates with the tandem DEDs of Caspase-8/10 [24]. The formation of the DISC triggers both a dimerization induced conformational change and autoproteolitic processing of Caspase-8 that result in its activation.

The structure of a signaling complex containing the DDs of both Fas and FADD has recently been elucidated [25**]. Five Fas DDs and five FADD DDs are arranged in an asymmetric globular core similar to that observed in the PIDD–RAIDD structure. The lower layer contains the five FADD DDs (D1–5) while the upper layer contains five Fas DDs (F1–5). Similarly, a hypothetical subcomplex of one FADD DD and the Fas DD directly above it can be defined for the convenience of discussion. At the interface of this subcomplex is a type II interface, formed by the IIa surface of Fas and the IIb surface of FADD. This subcomplex can then be rotated and translated along a screw axis five times to obtain the two layered Fas–FADD complex. Several types of type I and type III interactions mediate the interactions between different subcomplexes. Mutations on the interfacial residues between Fas and FADD had an effect on complex formation in vitro and in vivo. A semiquantitative relationship was observed between mutational severity and the incidence frequency of the surface used in the complex. Like the PIDD–RAIDD oligomer, the Fas–FADD DISC can be interpreted as a double-stranded left-handed helical oligomer (Figure 4d). The first strand consists of D1–2 and F1–5, while the second strand contains D3–5 and F1–2 (Figure 4a). Like the PIDDosome and Myddosome, intramolecular contacts between sequential DDs are mediated by type III interactions, while types I and II are responsible for interstrand contacts.

**Concluding remarks**

The asymmetric type I, II, and III interactions between DDs are conserved in all current structures of oligomeric DD signaling complexes. These interactions likely represent the predominant mechanism of DD polymerization. While the overall architecture of each interaction is conserved, variations in residues that form each interaction surface contribute to the binding specificity between DDs. The use of helical oligomerization in signal transduction has several potential benefits. In the case of Fas and Myd88, extracellular ligand binding triggers receptor clustering. This allows for the transmission of the signal across the plasma membrane by inducing oligomerization of resident cytosolic DD proteins. The requirement for a nucleation event protects cells from an early activation of irreversible signaling pathways. This is of paramount importance as the downstream effects of these DD oligomerization events are largely bimodal. Activation of apoptotic initiator caspases invariably results in the activation of effector caspases and apoptosis [27]. Also, NF-κB activation has recently been shown to respond digitally to TNF-α [28*] and signaling through the T cell receptor [29] on a single cell level. The helical nature of DD signaling complexes allows for interaction with a variable number of binding partners. It also allows for the conversion from an analog signal in the form of extracellular ligand concentration (or in the case of PIDD, cellular stress) to an intracellular digital one by setting a threshold for signal propagation.
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